

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| PPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | TORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION N | |
|----------------------------------|-------------------------|----------------------|-------------------------|----------------------------------|--|
| 10/774,033 | 02/06/2004 | Shawn L. Elahee | 5760-20600/VRTS-0397 | 7728 | |
| 7590 10/27/2006 | | | EXAMINER | | |
| Lawrence J. Merkel | | | PEIKARI, BEHZAD | | |
| Meytons, Hood, | Kivlin, Kowert, & Goetz | zel, P.C. | | | |
| P.O. Box 398 Austin, TX 78767 | | | ART UŅIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | | | 2189 | | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 10/27/2006 | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| Application Number | Application/Control No. Applicant(s)/Patent under Reexamination | | | | |
|----------------------------|---|------------------|--|--|--|
| | 10/774,033 | ELAHEE, SHAWN L. | | | |
| | | Art Unit | | | |
| | Reginald G. Bragdon | 2189 | | | |
| Document Code - AP.PRE.DEC | | | | | |

Notice of Panel Decision from Pre-Appeal Brief Review

| 1 (88184 11811 81 | 1011 10010 HOLION | |
|---|---|--|
| This is in response to the Pre-Appeal Brief Request for R | eview filed 21 Sept 2000 | <u>6</u> . |
| Improper Request – The Request is improper reason(s): | and a conference will no | ot be held for the following |
| ☐ The Notice of Appeal has not been filed conc ☐ The request does not include reasons why a ☐ A proposed amendment is included with the I ☐ Other: . | review is appropriate. | |
| The time period for filing a response continues to run the mail date of the last Office communication, if no N | | |
| 2. Proceed to Board of Patent Appeals and Interest held. The application remains under appeal because is required to submit an appeal brief in accordance we brief will be reset to be one month from mailing this drunning from the receipt of the notice of appeal, which appeal brief is extendible under 37 CFR 1.136 based of the notice of appeal, as applicable. | there is at least one act with 37 CFR 41.37. The t lecision, or the balance of hever is greater. Further | ual issue for appeal. Applicant ime period for filing an appeal of the two-month time period , the time period for filing of the |
| The panel has determined the status of the claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | claim(s) is as follows: | |
| 3. Allowable application – A conference has bee Allowance will be mailed. Prosecution on the merits reapplicant at this time. | | |
| 4. Reopen Prosecution – A conference has been action will be mailed. No further action is required by | | vithdrawn and a new Office |
| All participants: | | Reginal D. Bragelon REGINALD BRAGDON |
| (1) <u>Reginald G. Bragdon</u> . | (3) <u>B. James Peikari</u> . | SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINE |
| (2) <u>Lynne Brown</u> . | (4) | TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100 |